Société d'Investissement à Capital Variable

Appendix 4 – Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation ("SFDR") (unaudited) (continued)

ELEVA Euroland Selection Fund

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Product name: ELEVA Euroland Selection Fund

Legal entity identifier: 213800HCY6WWO4AGCE36

Sustainable

investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm anv environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The EU Taxonomy is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852, establishing a list of environmentally sustainable economic activities. That **Regulation does** not include a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the

Taxonomy or not.

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

Yes	• • 🗶 No
It made sustainable investments with an environmental objective:% in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	 It promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 42% of sustainable investments with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy with a social objective
It made sustainable investments with a social objective:%	It promoted E/S characteristics, but did not make any sustainable investments

More information on ELEVA Capital's definition of Sustainable investments in accordance with article 2.17 of the SFDR regulation is available in the Transparency Code. Please refer to the section "What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?" for clarifications about the distinction of treatment between 'Taxonomy-aligned' Sustainable investments and the other Sustainable investments sub-categories. Statistics on the share of Taxonomy-aligned investments are presented in the section "To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?".

Société d'Investissement à Capital Variable

Appendix 4 – Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation ("SFDR") (unaudited) (continued)

ELEVA Euroland Selection Fund (continued)



To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

ELEVA Euroland Selection Fund (the "Sub-Fund") promoted a combination of environmental, social and governance ("ESG") characteristics by investing in companies with good ESG practices (i.e best in universe) or companies that were on an improving path regarding ESG practices (i.e best efforts) while excluding companies that had not a minimum ESG rating (40/100).

The Sub-Fund applied the Management Company's exclusion policy, i.e.:

• Norm based exclusions: companies having violated ILO (International Labour Organisation) Conventions, or one of the UN guiding principles on Business and Human Rights, or one of the UN Global Compact principles, or one of the OECD Guidelines For Multinational Enterprises.

• Sector based exclusions: companies involved in the sector (including production or distribution) of controversial weapons (0% of sales threshold), of tobacco (5% of sales threshold) and of nuclear weapons (5% of sales threshold). The Sub-fund also applied ELEVA Capital's coal policy, available on ELEVA Capital website.

- As of 29/12/2023, the Sub-fund did not hold any position in excluded companies as defined above.

• The weighted average ESG score of the ELEVA Euroland Selection Fund had to be significantly higher (i.e. better) than the average ESG score of its initial investment universe. This implied that the weighted average ESG score of the Sub-Fund may in no case be lower than the average ESG score of the initial investment universe after elimination of the 20% worst companies.

• A minimum ESG score of 40/100 was required for each company to enter the portfolio.

• The weight of issuers analysed and scored on ESG criteria with the ELEVA methodology had to be higher than 90% of the invested pocket (i.e. excluding cash).

As of 29/12/2023:

- The Sub-Fund showed a better overall ESG score than its reduced universe: 65/100 for the Sub-Fund against 63/100 for the reduced universe;

- No invested company had an ESG score below 40/100;

- All companies invested in the Sub-Fund had been analysed and scored through ELEVA ESG methodology.

Société d'Investissement à Capital Variable

Appendix 4 – Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation ("SFDR") (unaudited) (continued) ELEVA Euroland Selection Fund (continued)

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

The Sub-Fund had to show, as binding ESG criteria, a better performance than its initial investment universe on the following two ESG key performance indicators: carbon footprint (in tons of CO2 equivalent/million euros invested) and exposure to the UN Global Compact signatories (sum of the weights of the UN Global Compact signatories). Moreover, the weighted average ESG score of the Sub-Fund had to be significantly higher (i.e. better) than the average ESG score of its initial investment universe.

As of 29/12/2023, the Sub-Fund:

• Had a better performance than its initial investment universe on its carbon footprint (in tons of CO2 equivalent/million euros invested): 105 for the Sub-Fund against 200 for the universe;

• Presented a better exposure to the UN Global Compact signatories than its initial investment universe: 81% for the SubFund against 63% for the universe;

• Showed a better overall ESG score than its reduced universe: 65/100 for the Sub-Fund against 63/100 for the reduced universe.

The sustainability indicators presented above have not been subject to a verification audit by an independent third party.

…and compared to previous periods?

As of 30/12/2022, the Sub-Fund:

• Had a better performance than its initial investment universe on its carbon footprint (in tons of CO2 equivalent/million euros invested): 105 for the Sub-Fund against 246 for the universe;

• Presented a better exposure to the UN Global Compact signatories than its initial investment universe: 95% for the SubFund against 64% for the universe;

• Showed a better overall ESG score than its reduced universe: 65/100 for the Sub-Fund against 63/100 for the reduced universe.

2022 was the starting point for the comparison of sustainability indicators performance, as the regulation was not yet in force in previous periods.

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

As of 2023, the ELEVA Euroland Selection Fund had not committed to a minimum share of sustainable investments (ex-ante) but included in its portfolio investments qualified as sustainable according to ELEVA Capital's definition of sustainable investment (ex-post). Sustainable investments with environmental objectives might include energy efficiency,

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

Société d'Investissement à Capital Variable

Appendix 4 – Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation ("SFDR") (unaudited) (continued) ELEVA Euroland Selection Fund (continued)

renewable energies, sustainable mobility, smart building, sustainable infrastructure, etc. and sustainable investments with social objectives might include health and wellbeing, etc.

Please refer to the following question for more details.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anticorruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

Several criteria have been applied to ensure that sustainable investments do not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective:

• Exclusion (please refer to the question « To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met? »)

• Minimum ESG score of 60/100, and a minimal Governance score of 50/100, which screens out companies with bad ESG practices, poor Governance, and/or significant controversies. As explained in the question "How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?", the ESG score captures many indicators for adverse impacts.

• Positive contribution through the proportion of revenues: the positive contribution of each company is measured by calculating the proportion of revenue generated with products or services that contribute positively to one or more UN SDGs. To be considered as a sustainable investment, a company must, in addition to the 2 previous criteria, generate at least 20% of its revenue from this type of products or services. This is a net turnover threshold; thus, we deduct from this percentage the portion of turnover possibly achieved with products having potentially residual negative impacts (i.e. if not already excluded through the exclusion criteria). This indicator is expressed as a percentage and corresponds directly to the percentage of net sales, calculated as mentioned above.

As of 29/12/2023, 42% of the Sub-fund investments passed these criteria and have been classified as sustainable investments measured ex-post.

— How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

Indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors were taken into account, at the product level, including for sustainable investments, through the set of exclusion, through the criteria analysed in the ESG analysis and through the binding ESG KPIs (please refer to the question "How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?").

— Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

Société d'Investissement à Capital Variable

Appendix 4 – Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation ("SFDR") (unaudited) (continued)

ELEVA Euroland Selection Fund (continued)

The companies that had violated ILO (International Labour Organisation) Conventions, or one of the UN guiding principles on Business and Human Rights, or one of the UN Global Compact principles, or of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises were excluded in this Sub-Fund.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the EU criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the EU criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.



How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund took into consideration the 14 principal adverse impact indicators and 2 optional ones (investments in companies without carbon emission reduction initiatives and investment in companies without workplace accident prevention policies).

• PAI 2, 10 and 14 were taken into consideration in a quantitative way, with maximum exposure or thresholds in place (through Strict Exclusions or through the binding ESG key performance indicators described above)

• PAI 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 11, 13 and the 2 optional ones were taken into consideration in a qualitative way, mainly through the criteria analysed through ESG analysis.

• PAI 7, 8, 9, 12 were only taken into consideration when the data was available (available data for these PAI is scarce).

The statement below presents the principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors with respect to ELEVA Euroland Selection, covering the reference period from 1 January to 31 December 2023 and calculated as a quarterly average.

Société d'Investissement à Capital Variable

Appendix 4 – Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation ("SFDR") (unaudited) (continued)

ELEVA Euroland Selection Fund (continued)

		Indicators applicable to investments in investee companies	stments in in	vestee com	panies	
Adverse su	Adverse sustainability indicator	Metric	Impact 2023	Impact 2022	Coverage rate and Explanation	Actions taken, and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period
		CLIMATE AND OTHER ENVIRONMENT-RELATED INDICATORS	NMENT-REL	ATED INDI	CATORS	-
Greenhouse	1. GHG emissions	Scope 1 GHG emissions	60,673	53,825	2023: 100.0%	This metric is analysed in
gas emissions						the planet pillar of our ESG
						scoring methodology
		Scope 2 GHG emissions	20,401	13,584	2023: 100.0%	This metric is analysed in
						the planet pillar of our ESG
						scoring methodology
		Scope 3 GHG emissions	497,165	488,815	2023: 100.0%	This metric is analysed in
						the planet pillar of our ESG
						scoring methodology
		Total GHG emissions	578,239	556,224	2023: 100.0%	This metric is analysed in
						the planet pillar of our ESG
						scoring methodology
	2. Carbon footprint	Carbon footprint	466	601	2023: 100.0%	Binding indicator for
						ELEVA Euroland Selection
						fund (Scope 1&2
						emissions)
	3. GHG intensity	GHG intensity of investee	862	967	2023: 100.0%	
	of investee	companies				
	companies					
	4. Exposure to	Share of investments in	8.9%	10.3%	2023: 100.0%	This metric is analysed in
	companies	companies active in the fossil				the planet pillar of our ESG
	active in the	fuel sector				scoring methodology
	fossil fuel sector					;
			00			

Société d'Investissement à Capital Variable

Appendix 4 – Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation ("SFDR") (unaudited) (continued) ELEVA Euroland Selection Fund (continued)

	Indicators applicable to investments in investee companies	<u>tments in in</u>	vestee com	panies	_
Adverse sustainability indicator	Metric	Impact 2023	Impact 2022	Coverage rate and Explanation	Actions taken, and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period
5. Share of non- renewable energy consumption and production	Share of non-renewable energy consumption and non- renewable energy production of investee companies from non-renewable energy sources compared to renewable energy sources, expressed as a percentage of total energy sources	61.0%	77.9%	2023: 84.6%	-
6. Energy consumption intensity per high impact climate sector	Energy consumption in GWh per million EUR of revenue of investee companies, per high impact climate sector	NACE Code A: NA A Code B: 0.10 NACE 0.39 0.39 0.39	NACE Code A: NA A: NACE NACE NACE NACE Code B: 0.58	2023: 94.5%	This metric is analysed in the planet pillar of our ESG scoring methodology for all sectors except Financials

Société d'Investissement à Capital Variable

Appendix 4 – Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation ("SFDR") (unaudited) (continued)

ELEVA Euroland Selection Fund (continued)

	Indicators applicable to investments in investee companies	stments in in	vestee com	panies	
Adverse sustainability indicator	Metric	Impact 2023	Impact 2022	Coverage rate and Explanation	Actions taken, and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period
		NACE Code D: 2.31	NACE Code D: 1.94		
		NACE Code E: NA	NACE Code E: 1.98		
		NACE Code F: 0.19	NACE Code F: 0.18		
		NACE Code G: 0.15	NACE Code G: 0.33		
		NACE Code H: 5.44	NACE Code H: NA		
		NACE Code L: NA	NACE Code L: 0.35		

Société d'Investissement à Capital Variable

Appendix 4 – Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation ("SFDR") (unaudited) (continued)

ELEVA Euroland Selection Fund (continued)

		Indicators applicable to investments in investee companies	stments in in	vestee com	ipanies	
Adverse su	Adverse sustainability indicator	Metric	Impact 2023	Impact 2022	Coverage rate and Explanation	Actions taken, and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period
Biodiversity	 Activities negatively affecting biodiversity- sensitive areas 	Share of investments in investee companies with sites/operations located in or near to biodiversity-sensitive areas where activities of those investee companies negatively affect those areas	1	1	We choose not to report this indicator considering issues related to data quality and/or availability.	
Water	8. Emissions to water	Tonnes of emissions to water generated by investee companies per million EUR invested, expressed as a weighted average	1	1	2023: 4.2%	As the coverage rate was below 50%, we decided to not publish the metric in 2022 and 2023.
Waste	 Hazardous waste and radioactive waste ratio 	Tonnes of hazardous waste and radioactive waste generated by investee companies per million EUR invested, expressed as a weighted average	1.04	0.44	2023: 56.3%	
INDICATC	IRS FOR SOCIAL AND E	INDICATORS FOR SOCIAL AND EMPLOYEE, RESPECT FOR HUMAN RIGHTS, ANTI-CORRUPTION AND ANTI-BRIBERY MATTERS	MAN RIGHT	S, ANTI-COI	RUPTION AND ANT	I-BRIBERY MATTERS
Social and employee matters	10. Violations of UN Global Compact principles and Organisation for Economic	Share of investments in investee companies that have been involved in violations of the UNGC principles or	0.0%	0.0%	2023: 100.0%	Binding indicator at ELEVA Capital level as it is part of the overall exclusion list

Société d'Investissement à Capital Variable

Appendix 4 – Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation ("SFDR") (unaudited) (continued)

ELEVA Euroland Selection Fund (continued)

Adverse su	Adverse sustainability indicator	Metric Impact Impact Cov 2023 2022 and I	Impact 2023	Impact 2022	Coverage rate and Explanation	Actions taken, and actions planned and targets set for the next
						reference period
	Cooperation	OECD Guidelines for				
	and Development					
	(OECD)					
	Guidelines for					
	Multinational					
	Enterprises					
	11. Lack of	Share of investments in	6.5%	10.5%	2023: 100.0%	The share of investments
	processes and	investee companies without				in signatory companies of
	compliance	policies to monitor				the UNGG is a binding
	mechanisms to	compliance with the UNGC				indicator for ELEVA
	monitor	principles or OECD				Euroland Selection fund
	compliance with	Guidelines for Multinational				
	UN Global	Enterprises or grievance				
	Compact	/complaints handling				
	principles and	mechanisms to address				
	OECD	violations of the UNGC				
	Guidelines for	principles or OECD				
	Multinational	Guidelines for Multinational				
	Enterprises	Enterprises				
	12. Unadjusted	Average unadjusted gender	I	I	2023: 24.8%	As the coverage rate was
	gender pay gap	pay gap of investee				below 50%, we decided to
		companies				

Société d'Investissement à Capital Variable

Appendix 4 – Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation ("SFDR") (unaudited) (continued)

ELEVA Euroland Selection Fund (continued)

		Indicators applicable to investments in investee companies	tments in in	vestee com	panies	
Adverse su	Adverse sustainability indicator	Metric	Impact 2023	Impact 2022	Coverage rate and Explanation	Actions taken, and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period
						not publish the metric in 2022 and 2023.
	13. Board gender diversity	Average ratio of female to male board members in investee companies, expressed as a percentage of all board members	43.1%	41.5%	2023: 100.0%	This metric is analysed in the Shareholders pillar of our ESG scoring methodology
	14. Exposure to controversial weapons (anti- personnel mines, cluster munitions,	Share of investments in investee companies involved in the manufacture or selling of controversial weapons	%0.0	0.0%	2023: 100.0%	Binding indicator at ELEVA Capital level as it is part of the overall exclusion policy
	chemical weapons and biological weapons)					

Société d'Investissement à Capital Variable

Appendix 4 – Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation ("SFDR") (unaudited) (continued)

ELEVA Euroland Selection Fund (continued)

	Othe	Other indicators for principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors	erse impacts	on sustain	ability factors	
Adverse su	Adverse sustainability indicator	Metric	Impact 2023	Impact 2022	Coverage rate and Explanation	Actions taken, and actions planned and
					-	targets set for the next reference period
Emissions	4. Investments in	Share of investments in	23.0%	34.1%	2023: 100.0%	
	companies	investee companies without				
	without carbon	carbon emission reduction				
	emission	initiatives aimed at aligning				
	reduction	with the Paris Agreement				
	initiatives					
Social and	1. Investments in	Share of investments in	2.4%	21.2%	2023: 100.0%	
employee	companies	investee companies without			Methodological	
matters	without	a workplace accident			change made by	
	workplace	prevention policy			the data provider	
	accident				between 2022 and	
	prevention				2023.	
	policies					

Société d'Investissement à Capital Variable

Appendix 4 – Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation ("SFDR") (unaudited) (continued) **ELEVA Euroland Selection Fund (continued)**



What were the top investments of this financial product?

The table below presents the top investments made by ELEVA Euroland Selection, covering the reference period from 1 January to 31 December 2023 and calculated as a quarterly average.

	Largest investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
	ASML Holding NV	Semiconductors &	6.34%	Netherlands
	LVMH Moet Hennessy	Textiles, Apparel &	3.98%	France
	Schneider Electric SE	Electrical Equipment	3.75%	France
The list includes the investments	ING Groep NV	Banks	3.39%	Netherlands
constituting the	Siemens AG	Industrial Conglomerates	3.33%	Germany
greatest	AXA SA	Insurance	3.33%	France
proportion of	TotalEnergies SE	Oil, Gas & Consumable	3.10%	France
investments of	UniCredit SpA	Banks	3.01%	Italy
the financial product during the	L Oreal SA	Personal Products	2.99%	France
reference period	Ryanair Holdings PLC	Passenger Airlines	2.97%	Ireland
which is: 1	Dassault Systemes SE	Software	2.79%	France
January - 31	Iberdrola SA	Electric Utilities	2.73%	Spain
December 2023	Pernod Ricard SA	Beverages	2.20%	France
	Infineon Technologies AG	Semiconductors &	2.19%	Germany
	Technip Energies NV	Energy Equipment &	1.96%	France



What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

As of 2023, the Sub-fund had not committed to a minimum share of sustainable investments (ex-ante) but included in its portfolio investments qualified as sustainable according to ELEVA Capital's definition of sustainable investment (ex-post).

To qualify as sustainable investment (pass or fail), a company must generate at least 20% of its revenues with products and services contributing to one or more United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs) while at the same time not doing significant harm any environmental or social objective and following good governance practices (in particular with respect to sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance).

DNSH principle and good governance practices were captured through a set of Exclusions, a minimal ESG score of 60/100, and a minimal Governance score of 50/100. The ESG analysis methodology and details on exclusions are disclosed in our Transparency Code, available in the Responsible Approach section of our website.

As of 29/12/2023, the ELEVA Euroland Selection Fund had a proportion of sustainable investments of 42% mesured ex-post.

Société d'Investissement à Capital Variable

Appendix 4 – Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation ("SFDR") (unaudited) (continued)

ELEVA Euroland Selection Fund (continued)

The Sub-fund has not committed to a minimum share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU taxonomy but we voluntarily disclose the expost weighted average taxonomy-aligned revenue of the Sub-Fund: 3.9% (excluding sovereign bonds, if any). However, the category 'Taxonomy-aligned' is not linked to the total 'Sustainable' investments (i.e. #1A) in the graph below and is disclosed separately from the two other Sustainable investments sub-categories for two reasons: (1) based on our understanding of the SFDR regulation, the sum of Sustainable investments subcategories must be equal to the total 'Sustainable' investments (i.e. #1A); and (2) in line with the SFDR regulation, ELEVA Capital's definition of sustainable investments is binary i.e. "pass or fail" (as described above and in our Transparency Code) and sustainable investments can either be Environmental or Social but not both, whereas the SFDR regulation prescribes that taxonomy-aligned investments shall be calculated as a weighted average. We will re-consider this approach in the next periodic reporting depending on upcoming regulatory developments and clarifications. More statistics on the share of Taxonomy-aligned investments are presented below (cf. question "To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?").

What was the asset allocation?



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#20ther includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category #1 Aligned with E/S characteristics covers:

- The sub-category #1A Sustainable covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.

- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

Historical comparison: as of 30/12/2022, the ELEVA Euroland Selection Fund had 98% of investments aligned with E/S characteristics, a proportion of sustainable investments of 33% of which 20% with an environmental objective that were not aligned with EU taxonomy, and 13% with a social objective.



Société d'Investissement à Capital Variable

Appendix 4 – Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation ("SFDR") (unaudited) (continued) ELEVA Euroland Selection Fund (continued)

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

The table below presents the sector exposure of the investments made by ELEVA Euroland Selection, covering the reference period from 1 January to 31 December 2023 and calculated as a quarterly average.

Sector	% of assets
Banks	11.15%
Semiconductors & Semiconductor	9.00%
Textiles, Apparel & Luxury Goo	8.85%
Chemicals	5.33%
Electric Utilities	5.22%
Software	4.61%
Oil, Gas & Consumable Fuels*	3.98%
Electrical Equipment	3.75%
Insurance	3.70%
Beverages	3.67%
Diversified Telecommunication	3.55%
Industrial Conglomerates	3.33%
Automobiles	3.33%
Personal Products	2.99%
Aerospace & Defense	2.97%
Passenger Airlines	2.97%
IT Services	2.85%
Pharmaceuticals	2.81%
Energy Equipment & Services	2.63%
Others and liquidities	2.49%
Air Freight & Logistics	2.40%
Broadline Retail	2.22%
Construction Materials	2.15%
Building Products	1.85%
Health Care Providers & Servic	1.77%
Health Care Equipment & Suppli	1.76%
Personal Care Products	1.72%
Construction & Engineering	1.66%
Capital Markets	1.59%
Media	1.55%
Hotels, Restaurants & Leisure	1.52%
Trading Companies & Distributo	1.51%
Interactive Media & Services	1.45%
Containers & Packaging	1.41%
Machinery	1.28%
Paper & Forest Products	1.26%
Automobile Components	0.87%
Life Sciences Tools & Services	0.74%

(*) Investments in Oil, Gas & Consumable Fuels corresponded to the fund's exposure to the fossil fuel sector.

Société d'Investissement à Capital Variable

Appendix 4 – Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation ("SFDR") (unaudited) (continued)

ELEVA Euroland Selection Fund (continued)

To comply with the EU Taxonomy, the criteria for **fossil gas** include limitations on emissions and switching to fully renewable power or low-carbon fuels by the end of 2035. For **nuclear energy**, the criteria include comprehensive safety and waste management rules.

Enabling activities

directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.

Transitional activities

are activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and among others have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:

- turnover reflecting the share of revenue from green activities of investee companies.
- capital expenditure

 (CapEx) showing the
 green investments made
 by investee companies,
 e.g. for a transition to a
 green economy.
- operational expenditure (OpEx) reflecting green operational activities of investee companies.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The Sub-fund has not committed to a minimum share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU taxonomy (ex-ante). However, the proportion of sustainable investments aligned with the Taxonomy can be measured ex-post.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy¹?



The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.



* For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures.

Taxonomy aligned investments had a positive contribution to climate change mitigation and/or adaptation. The Taxonomy indicators presented above are only based on reported company data but have not been subject to a verification audit by an independent third party.

¹ Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

Société d'Investissement à Capital Variable

Appendix 4 – Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation ("SFDR") (unaudited) (continued)

ELEVA Euroland Selection Fund (continued)

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

As of 29/12/2023:

• 0.1% of the investments (excluding sovereign bonds, if any) made by the Sub-Fund were composed of Transitional Taxonomy-aligned investments in terms of Turnover, 0.3% of CapEx, and 0.1% of OpEx.

• 3.1% of the investments (excluding sovereign bonds, if any) made by the Sub-Fund were composed of Enabling Taxonomy-aligned investments in terms of Turnover, 5.7% of CapEx, and 5.9% of OpEx.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

We were unable to provide reliable Environmental taxonomy alignment figures in the 2022 SFDR periodic report.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

As of 2023, the Sub-Fund had not committed to a minimum proportion of sustainable investments with an environmental objective that are not aligned with EU taxonomy (exante) but included in its portfolio investments qualified as sustainable according to ELEVA Capital's definition of sustainable investment with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy (ex-post).

As of 29/12/2023: 13% of all investments of the Sub-Fund were composed of sustainable investments with an environmental objective measured ex-post.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

As of 2023, the Sub-Fund had not committed to a minimum proportion of socially sustainable investments (ex-ante) but included in its portfolio investments qualified as socially sustainable according to ELEVA Capital's definition of sustainable investment (ex-post).

As of 29/12/2023: 29% of all investments of the Sub-Fund were composed of sustainable investments with a social objective measured ex-post.



sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

Société d'Investissement à Capital Variable

Appendix 4 - Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation ("SFDR") (unaudited) (continued)

ELEVA Euroland Selection Fund (continued)



What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

As of 29/12/2023, 3% of the Sub-fund investments were not invested with the E/S characteristics and so included under "other". It consisted of cash, instruments not rated on ESG criteria (e.g. IPOs or among others and UCITS). These investments are notably used for liquidity and/or technical purposes, for which environmental or social safeguards are not applicable.



What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

An internal process has been in place as well as systematic post-trade monitoring to ensure that 81% minimum of net assets of the Sub-fund were "eligible" as per the ESG process in place (hence investments that are aligned with the promoted environmental and social characteristics).

Moreover, individual engagement with companies invested in the sub-fund was systematic to share key findings of ESG analysis and topics on which they could improve.

The ELEVA Euroland Selection fund engaged with 33 companies held in portfolio during the reference period from 1 January to 31 December 2023, sharing with them a total of 101 engagement areas and participated in 41 Annual General Meetings.

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable

- Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.
- How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index? Not applicable